19 - ( N	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/623,057	CHAN-HUI ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah A. Davis	1641
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>Deborah A. Davis</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Pauling.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6-22-05	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Provisional Double Patenting		
Claims discussed: 1-14		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a ste record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
211 - 10	·	
M. Maria		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Pauling that the application would be allowed if a terminal disclaimer was filed. Mr. Pauling informed the examiner that the inventors are scattered to other companies and he would have to coordinate them together, get proper signatures and assignment of the application. Mr. Pauling communicated to examiner that if a provisional double patenting rejection was mailed, he would mos likely respond by filing a terminal disclaimer..